### THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR COURT MERRIMACK COUNTY

I, Catherine Ruffle, Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of New Hampshire for the County of Merrimack, the same being a court of record having a seal, and having custody of the records of the said Superior Court, do hereby certify that the attached are true copies of Complaint, Summons on Complaint, Return of Service, Notice of removal. in the action 217-2020-cv-00329 Ginger Simonds v. Office of Public Guardian of said Superior Court.



In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Superior Court at this 7th day of August A.D. 2020

Catherine of Ruffle

Clerk of Superior Court

#### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK COUNTY

SUPERIOR COURT

217-2020-CV-00329

**GINGER SIMONDS** 

v.

#### OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN

### NOTICE OF FILING OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1441 and 1446, Defendant,

Office of Public Guardian, by its attorneys, Jackson Lewis P.C., has this day filed in the Clerk's

Office of the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire, Concord, New

Hampshire, a Notice of Removal of this case, as shown by copy attached, and in accordance with the above statute, the State Court proceedings should proceed no further herein, unless and until the case is remanded.

Respectfully Submitted,

OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN, By its attorneys, JACKSON LEWIS P.C.,

Date: August 7, 2020

By: /s/ K. Joshua Scott

K. Joshua Scott, NH Bar #17479 100 International Drive, Suite 363

Portsmouth, NH 03801

603.559.2700

joshua.scott@jacksonlewis.com

True Copy Attest

Amy M. Feliciano, Clerk of Court

August 7, 2020
This is a Service Document For Case: 217-2020-CV-00329

Merrimack Superior Court 8/7/2020 1:47 PM

### Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing were this day served via email on counsel for Plaintiff, Sean List, Esq. at <a href="mailto:slist@backusmeyer.com">slist@backusmeyer.com</a> and via the state court's electronic filing system for Merrimack County Superior Court.

Date: August 7, 2020 By: /s/K. Joshua Scott

K. Joshua Scott

True Copy Attest

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

GINGE	R SIMONDS	١.

<b>D</b> 1				
М	ain	t1	tt	

v. Civil Case No. 1:20-CV-\_\_\_\_

OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN,

Defendant

### NOTICE OF REMOVAL AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Please take notice that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1441 and 1446, Defendant Office of Public Guardian, by its attorneys, Jackson Lewis, P.C., hereby removes this action from the Superior Court of the State of New Hampshire, Merrimack County, to the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire.

In support of this notice of removal, defendant states as follows:

- 1. By complaint filed on or about July 2, 2020, Plaintiff instituted a claim against Defendant in the Merrimack County Superior Court. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), true and correct copies of the summons and complaint are attached hereto as Exhibit 1, certified copies of which will be forwarded upon receipt of the state court record from the Merrimack County Superior Court.
- 2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), this notice of removal is timely filed within thirty (30) days of July 9, 2020, the date on which counsel for Defendant first received the complaint and summons.
- 3. Defendant has not served any answer or responsive pleading to the complaint, nor made any appearance or argument before the state court.

  True Copy Attest

- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action by virtue of federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331. Specifically, Plaintiff is alleging violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), as well as other claims pursuant to state law including retaliation and other statutory violations.
- 5. Defendant submits this notice without waiving any defenses to the claims asserted by Plaintiff or conceding that Plaintiff has pled claims upon which relief can be granted.
- 6. As this action could have been commenced in this Court, removal is proper.

  28 U.S.C. §1441(a). Furthermore, this Court may exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's state law claims. See 28 U.S.C. §1367(a).

Respectfully Submitted,

OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN, By its attorneys, JACKSON LEWIS P.C.,

Date: August 7, 2020 By: /s/ K. Joshua Scott

K. Joshua Scott, NH Bar #17479 100 International Drive, Suite 363 Portsmouth, NH 03801

603.559.2700

joshua.scott@jacksonlewis.com

### Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing were this day served on counsel for Plaintiff, Sean List, via the Court's ECF system and electronic mail at slist@backusmeyer.com.

2

Date: August 7, 2020 By: /s/K. Joshua Scott

K. Joshua Scott

True Copy Attest

## THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE JUDICIAL BRANCH

SUPERIOR COURT

Merrimack Superior Court 5 Court Street Concord NH 03301 Telephone: 1-855-212-1234 TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964 http://www.courts.state.nh.us

### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION



Case Name:

Ginger Simonds v Office of Public Guardian

Case Number:

217-2020-CV-00329

Date Complaint Filed: July 02, 2020

A Complaint has been filed against Office of Public Guardian in this Court. A copy of the Complaint is attached.

### The Court ORDERS that ON OR BEFORE:

August 21, 2020

Ginger Simonds shall have this Summons and the attached Complaint served upon Office of Public Guardian by in hand or by leaving a copy at

his/her abode, or by such other service as is allowed by law.

September 11, 2020

Ginger Simonds shall electronically file the return(s) of service with this Court. Failure to do so may result in this action being dismissed without

further notice.

30 days after Defendant

is served

Office of Public Guardian must electronically file an Appearance and Answer or other responsive pleading form with this Court. A copy of the

Appearance and Answer or other responsive pleading must be sent

electronically to the party/parties listed below.

Notice to Office of Public Guardian: If you do not comply with these requirements you will be considered in default and the Court may issue orders that affect you without your input.

Send copies to:

Sean Robert List, ESQ

Backus Meyer & Branch LLP 116 Lowell St PO Box 516

Manchester NH 03105-0516

Office of Public Guardian

Human Rights, New Hampshire Commission

2 Pillsbury Street Suite 400 Concord NH 03301

2 Industrial Park Drive Concord NH 03301

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

July 07, 2020

(126987)

Catherine J. Ruffle Clerk of Court

True Copy Attest

### THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE JUDICIAL BRANCH

SUPERIOR COURT

Merrimack Superior Court 5 Court Street Concord NH 03301 Telephone: 1-855-212-1234 TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964 http://www.courts.state.nh.us

True Copy Attest

Amy M. Feliciano, Clerk of Court August 7, 2020

# GINGER SIMONDS INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE BY THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Case Name:

**Ginger Simonds v Office of Public Guardian** 

Case Number:

217-2020-CV-00329

**Instructions for:** Ginger Simonds

The attached Summons must be sent to the Sheriff's Department for service. Service must be completed on or before **August 21, 2020**.

### Further action is required by you

#### You must:

Print two copies of the Summons per defendant

Print two copies of the Notice to Defendant per defendant

Print two copies of the Complaint filed with the Court per defendant

• Make two packets for service. Each packet should contain:

o One Summons

Once Notice for Defendant

One Complaint filed with the Court

• Mail or hand deliver the packets to the Sheriff's Department in the county where each defendant resides.

### Sheriff Departments in New Hampshire:

Belknap County Sheriff's Department:

Carroll County Sheriff's Department:

Cheshire County Sheriff's Department:

Coos County Sheriff's Department:

Coos County Sheriff's Department:

Coos County Sheriff's Department:

Coos County Sheriff's Department:

Strafford County Sheriff's Department:

Sullivan County Sheriff's Department:

\*If one or more of the parties resides out of state, please click <a href="here">here</a> for the requirements\*
Service must be made upon the defendant before August 21, 2020.

If the Sheriff is unable to complete service by **August 21, 2020** you will receive a "Notice of Incomplete Service" from the Sheriff's Department. You may request that new paperwork be issued by electronically filing a Request for Documents. There is a fee for this request.

The Sheriff will mail the 'Return of Service' to you. You MUST electronically file the 'Return of Service' with the court by September 11, 2020.

If service is not made as directed, no further action will occur and the case may be dismissed by the court.

NHJB-2678-Se (07/01/2018)

### Important Service Information for Sheriff

Do not file this with the court
Provide this information to the Sheriff's Department.
See Instructions for Service for more information.

### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

Date:	Case #:
Who are you requesting to be served	
Please provide whatever information yo	
Name:	
Address for service (no P.O. boxes):	A 1777 / /
	APT #:
Home phone #:	Cell phone #:
Sex: Male Female	Race:
Last 4 digits of SS#: xxx-xx-	
Work name & address:	
Special instructions for service (i.e. direct	ctions, best time to serve, cautions, etc.):  True Copy Attest
	THE RIOR COL
Vehicle description/license plate:	Amy M. Feliciano, Clerk of Court
Your Information: Name (please print):	August 7, 2020
Residential address:	Mailing address:
Phone number to contact you during b	
	Signature
AIN HAND SEDVICE WILL IN	ICUR EXTRA COSTS DUE TO ADDITIONAL TRAVEL♦
AIM-IIMID OFFINIOF MIFF IIM	CON EXTINA COOL DOE TO ADDITIONAL HAVELEY
SHERIFF OFFICE USE ONLY: (This	will vary by Sheriff's Office)
Fees Paid: \$Cash #:	Check#:
Id#: Waiver: Money O	Order#:Credit Card:
Sheriff File #	Authorization #:

### Casse1120c470084225MoRPRHM9112 FFIJA08817/280 PRABE48P139

### Instructions for filing the Return of Service:

If you are working with an attorney, they will guide you on the next steps. If you are going to represent yourself in this action, go to the court's website: <a href="www.courts.state.nh.us">www.courts.state.nh.us</a>, select the Electronic Services icon and then select the option for a self-represented party.

- 1. Select "I am filing into an existing case". Enter 217-2020-CV-00329 and click Next.
- 2. When you find the case, click on the link follow the instructions on the screen. On the "What would you like to file?" screen, select "File Other Document" and choose "Return of Service".
- 3. Scan the Return of Service packet and follow the instructions in the electronic filing program to upload the Return of Service to complete your filing.
- 4. If the sheriff was unable to serve the paperwork, you can request new paperwork by filing a Request for Documents. On the "What would you like to file?" screen, select "File Other Document" and choose "Request for Reissued Summons" from the menu and upload the Request for Documents form.

#### FAILURE TO FILE THESE DOCUMENTS MAY RESULT IN YOUR CASE BEING DISMISSED.

July 07, 2020	Catherine J. Ruffle		
Date	Clerk of Court		

You can access documents electronically filed through our Case Access Portal by going to <a href="https://odypa.nhecourt.us/portal">https://odypa.nhecourt.us/portal</a> and following the instructions in the User Guide. In that process you will register, validate your email, request access and approval to view your case. After your information is validated by the court, you will be able to view case information and documents filed in your case.

TERIOR COLLEGE

True Copy Attest

Hile Date: 7/2/2020 2:42

Merrimack Superior Co

E-Filed Docum

### THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR COURT

MERRIMACK, SS

DOCKET NO. 217-2020-CV-00329

GINGER SIMONDS 289 Vinton Street Manchester, NH 03103

v.

OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN
2 Pillsbury Street, Suite 400
Concord, NH 03301

### JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

### **COMPLAINT**

### I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This action is brought by Ginger Simonds (the "Plaintiff") against the Office of Public Guardian ("OPG"), also referred to as the "Defendant," for wrongful termination, disability discrimination and retaliation in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S. Code § 12112, et al.) and the New Hampshire Law Against Discrimination (NH RSA 354-A).

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to NH RSA 491:7.
- 3. Venue is proper in Merrimack County because the Defendant maintains its principal office in Concord, NH. Additionally, the Plaintiff was employed at the principal office location.

TEN HAMPSHE

True Copy Attest

4. The Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedy requirements with the New Hampshire Commission for Human Rights and U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

### III. <u>PARTIES</u>

- 5. The Plaintiff is a New Hampshire resident with an address of 289 Vinton Street, Manchester, NH 03103.
- 6. The Defendant, Office of Public Guardian, is a private, domestic non-profit organization with a principal office location of 2 Pillsbury Street, Suite 400, Concord, NH, 03301.

### IV. FACTS

- 7. Ginger Simonds began her employment with OPG on approximately September 22, 2014. She held the position of Public Guardian and made an annual salary of approximately \$52,000.00 per year with multiple job benefits including, but not limited to, health insurance, dental insurance, disability insurance and an on-call stipend.
- 8. In March of 2019, the Plaintiff suffered from the sudden onset of severe pain in her back and left leg. She also had a pins and needles feeling running down her leg into her foot. She was diagnosed with a recurrent lumbar herniation with radiculopathy. This condition substantially interfered with several major life functions of the Plaintiff including, but not limited to, bending, walking, lifting, sitting, twisting, sleeping and concentration. It was therefore a qualifying disability pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, Amendments Act of 2008 (the "ADA") and NH RSA 354-A.
- 9. Beginning on approximately March 16, 2019, Ms. Simonds took a period of medical leave in order to treat her disability with necessary surgery and recover.



- 10. The first twelve weeks of Ms. Simonds' leave was protected under the Family and Medical Leave Act (the "FMLA").
- 11. Throughout her period of leave, the Plaintiff provided multiple notes from her treating doctors to OPG. She also openly communicated with management regarding her status.
- 12. The Plaintiff underwent back surgery on or about April 11, 2019. Her back surgery was initially estimated to require a recovery period that would fall within the allotted twelve weeks of FMLA leave. Unfortunately, Ms. Simonds' recovery took longer than expected, in part because she was the victim of a sexual assault that left her with further injury. Ms. Simonds openly communicated her recovery status and the assault she suffered to the Defendant.
- 13. In a letter dated June 14, 2019, OPG informed the Plaintiff that her FMLA leave had expired on June 9, 2019, and stated she would need to apply for a "personal leave of absence" to avoid termination. The letter also requested several pieces of information related to the Plaintiff's disability and ability to perform her job.
- 14. After the Plaintiff provided the requested information, OPG agreed to extend her leave through July 22, 2019. As that day approached, the Plaintiff was in frequent contact with OPG Director of Finance, Andrea Sisson, who performed human resources functions for OPG. Ms. Simonds also provided further doctor's notes regarding her condition and progress.
- 15. In a letter dated July 19, 2019, Ms. Sisson communicated that Ms. Simonds was expected to return to work by July 26, 2019, and needed to provide a letter from her doctor stating that the medication Ms. Simonds was taking would not impair her judgment. Ms. Simonds provided the requested letter.
- 16. Ms. Simonds requested that OPG accommodate her disability and related surgical recovery by permitting her to work remotely rather than immediately returning to the office.



OPG refused this request but did agree to allow Ms. Simonds to work a part-time schedule and gradually increase her hours to full-time as she recovered.

- 17. In order to avoid paying Ms. Simonds her full salary for a part-time schedule, OPG changed Ms. Simonds' wage structure to hourly.
- 18. Starting on or about July 29, 2019, the Plaintiff began working in the OPG office on a part-time schedule.
- 19. Ms. Simonds' recovery was moving forward and her condition was improving. She felt great to be back at work and was looking forward to her gradual return to a full-time schedule.
- 20. While Ms. Simonds was working her part-time schedule, management asked her on a near-daily basis when she would return to full-time duty. The Plaintiff intended to be back to full-time within a short period but could not answer this question with exact specificity. Ms. Simonds explained to OPG that she was improving and increasing her hours as she improved.
- 21. Rather than allowing the Plaintiff the time to sufficiently recover from her disability symptoms and related surgery, OPG suddenly terminated Ms. Simonds' employment on or about August 13, 2019. The Plaintiff was devastated.
- 22. At the time of Ms. Simonds' termination, OPG did not have a replacement lined up to fill Ms. Simonds' position. Additionally, OPG had experienced significant difficulty and delay when seeking employees for guardian positions in the past. Therefore, terminating Ms. Simonds' employment provided no relief from any alleged difficulty or claimed hardship created by Ms. Simonds' accommodated schedule.
- OPG advertised and sought applicants for the Plaintiff's employment position 23. immediately after terminating her employment. True Copy Attest

- Upon information and belief, OPG did not obtain a full-time replacement for Ms. 24. Simonds until October of 2019.
- 25. Ms. Simonds could have returned to full-time work by the time the new, nondisabled employee began working for OPG.
- 26. OPG has a six-month training period for new guardians. Ms. Simonds' could have returned to her position without needing the training that the new employee required, thereby saving money and other resources expended by the Defendant in replacing the Plaintiff.
- 27. Due to the disability discrimination and retaliation perpetrated against the Plaintiff by the Defendant, and the Defendant's wrongful termination of the Plaintiff's employment, the Plaintiff has suffered significant damages including, but not limited to, lost wages, lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is further entitled to attorney's fees, interest and costs. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages under NH RSA 354-A based on the Defendant's willful or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and punitive damages for the Defendant's intentional, malicious or reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's right to be free from discrimination.

#### COUNT I

### Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. 12112 et al. and NH RSA 354-A

- 28. The Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation contained in the paragraphs above.
- 29. The Defendant is, and was at all times relevant, a private employer employing more than fifteen employees. Therefore, the Defendant is a covered employer under the ADA and NH RSA 354-A.

True Copy Attest

30. At all times relevant to this matter, the Plaintiff was disabled within the meaning of the ADA and NH RSA 354-A, as described in the facts section above.

31. With the reasonable accommodation of permitting the Plaintiff a short period of medical leave followed by a gradual transition back to full-time hours, the Plaintiff could perform the essential functions of her job. This is evidenced by the Plaintiff's effective performance of her job duties prior to suffering from disability symptoms requiring surgery and recovery time.

32. Despite the Defendant agreeing to accommodate the Plaintiff with a gradual return to a full-time schedule, it terminated the Plaintiff a mere two weeks after the Plaintiff's return.

33. The Defendant's motivation for the termination was the Plaintiff's disability and need for accommodations, as evidenced by the Defendant's constant inquiries as to when the Plaintiff would return to full-time hours.

34. Had the Defendant simply allowed Ms. Simonds the time to recover and return to a full-time schedule, rather than terminating her after two weeks of incomplete accommodation, the Defendant could have avoided the delay and expense associated with hiring and training a non-disabled replacement for the Plaintiff.

35. By failing to reasonably accommodate the Plaintiff's disability, failing to meaningfully engage in the interactive process, and terminating the Plaintiff due to her disability and need for an accommodation, the Defendant committed disability discrimination in violation of the both the ADA and NH RSA 354-A.

36. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's disability discrimination, the Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages including, but not limited to, lost wages,



lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is further entitled to attorney's fees, interest and costs. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages under NH RSA 354-A based on the Defendant's willful or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and punitive damages for the Defendant's intentional, malicious or reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's right to be free from discrimination.

## COUNT II Retaliation in Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, U.S.C. 12112 et al. and NH RSA 354-A

- 37. The Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation contained in the paragraphs above.
- 38. The Plaintiff engaged in conduct protected by both the ADA and NH RSA 354-A by requesting and utilizing a reasonable accommodation for her disability.
- 39. Specifically, the Plaintiff sought the very reasonable accommodation of a short period of medical leave followed by a gradual transition back to full-time hours as she recovered from disability symptoms and a related surgery.
- 40. The Defendant initially agreed to the Plaintiff's accommodation request and the Plaintiff was permitted to utilize a part-time schedule with gradually increasing hours for two weeks.
- 41. Rather than allowing the Plaintiff to be fully accommodated and complete her recovery, the Defendant cut the accommodation short and fired the Plaintiff on or about August 13, 2019.
- 42. By taking adverse employment action against the Plaintiff in response to the Plaintiff's request and utilization of a reasonable accommodation, the Defendant committed

RIOR COLLEGE

unlawful retaliation in violation of NH RSA 354-A, including 354-A:7 and 354-A:19, as well as the ADA.

43. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's unlawful retaliation, the Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages including, but not limited to, lost wages, lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is further entitled to attorney's fees, interest and costs. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages under NH RSA 354-A based on the Defendant's willful or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and punitive damages for the Defendant's intentional, malicious or reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's right to be free from retaliation.

### COUNT III Wrongful Termination

- 44. The Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation contained in the paragraphs above.
- 45. Public policy and both state and federal law supported the Plaintiff in requesting and utilizing a reasonable accommodation for her disability and related surgery.
- 46. Public policy also supported the Plaintiff in utilizing a short extension to her medical leave due to post-surgical disability symptoms and the aggravation of said symptoms due to a sexual assault.
- 47. In retaliation for the Plaintiff engaging in conduct supported by public policy, the Defendant terminated her employment. Therefore, the Defendant is liable for wrongful termination.
- 48. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's wrongful termination of the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages including, but not limited to,

ERIOR COL

lost wages, lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages based upon the Defendant's willful or reckless violation of New Hampshire law pertaining to wrongful termination.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Ginger Simonds, respectfully prays this Honorable Court:

- A. Schedule this matter for trial by jury;
- B. Find the Defendant liable for all counts alleged herein;
- C. Award the Plaintiff all damages to which she is entitled,
- D. Award the Plaintiff her reasonable attorney's fees, interest, and costs; and
- E. Grant such other and further relief as is just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted, Ginger Simonds, By her attorneys, BACKUS, MEYER & BRANCH, LLP

Date: July 2, 2020

By: /s/ Sean R. List

Sean R. List, NH Bar #266711 116 Lowell Street Manchester, NH 03104 (603) 668-7272 slist@backusmeyer.com



True Copy Attest

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

GINGER SIMONDS,

Plaintiff

v.

OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN,

Defendant

### NOTICE OF FILING OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL

TO: Sean List, Esq.
Backus, Meyer & Branch LLP
116 Lowell Street
Manchester, NH 03104
slist@backusmeyer.com

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1441 and 1446, Defendant Office of Public Guardian, by and through its attorneys, Jackson Lewis P.C., has this day filed in the Clerk's Office of the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire, Concord, New Hampshire, a Notice of Removal of this case, as shown by copy attached, and in accordance with the above statute, the State Court proceedings should proceed no further herein, unless and until the case is remanded.

[signatures on following page]

True Copy Attest

Civil Case No. 1:20-CV-\_\_\_\_

Respectfully Submitted,

OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN, By its attorneys, JACKSON LEWIS P.C.,

Date: August 7, 2020 By: /s/ K. Joshua Scott

K. Joshua Scott, NH Bar #17479 100 International Drive, Suite 363

Portsmouth, NH 03801

603.559.2700

joshua.scott@jacksonlewis.com

### Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing were this day served via email on counsel for Plaintiff, Sean List, Esq. at <a href="mailto:slist@backusmeyer.com">slist@backusmeyer.com</a> and via the Court's ECF system.

Date: August 7, 2020 By: /s/K. Joshua Scott
K. Joshua Scott

True Copy Attest

#### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK COUNTY

SUPERIOR COURT

218-2020-CV-00544

**GINGER SIMONDS** 

v.

#### OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN

### NOTICE OF FILING OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1441 and 1446, Defendant, Office of Public Guardian, by its attorneys, Jackson Lewis P.C., has this day filed in the Clerk's Office of the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire, Concord, New Hampshire, a Notice of Removal of this case, as shown by copy attached, and in accordance with the above statute, the State Court proceedings should proceed no further herein, unless and until the case is remanded.

Respectfully Submitted,

OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN, By its attorneys, JACKSON LEWIS P.C.,

Date: August 7, 2020

/s/ K. Joshua Scott By:

> K. Joshua Scott, NH Bar #17479 100 International Drive, Suite 363 Portsmouth, NH 03801

603.559.2700

joshua.scott@jacksonlewis.com



True Copy Attest

### Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing were this day served via email on counsel for Plaintiff, Sean List, Esq. at <a href="mailto:slist@backusmeyer.com">slist@backusmeyer.com</a> and via the state court's electronic filing system for Merrimack County Superior Court.

Date: August 7, 2020 By: /s/K. Joshua Scott

K. Joshua Scott

True Copy Attest

JS 44 (Rev. 09/19)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS GINGER SIMONDS  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN  County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Sean List, Esq., Backus, 116 Lowell Street, Manch	Meyer & Branch, LLP			Attorneys (If Known) K. Joshua Scott, E Suite 363, Portsmo	sq., Jackson Lewis, P. outh, NH 03801; 603.5	.C.; 100 International Drive, 59.2711	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIE	${f S}$ (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	of Business I	n Another State	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			l Ec	DEFITIDE/DENIALTY		e of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT  □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise    REAL PROPERTY   □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Othe  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	TTY	DRFEITURE/PENALTY  5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other  LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY  422 Appeal 28 USC 158  423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS  820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY  861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)  485 Telephone Consumer	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in  ☐ 1 Original Proceeding  X2 Ref		Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop	pened Anothe	r District Litigati	on - Litigation -	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you are	e filing (1	(specify)  Oo not cite jurisdictional state		r Direct File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		•					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	<u>_</u> _	IS A CLASS ACTION		DA, retaliation and wr		ly if demanded in complaint:  D:  Yes  No	
VIII. RELATED CASI		<u> </u>				Z. 25100 E110	
IF ANY	( 22	JUDGE SIGNATURE OF ATT	CODNESS C	DE DECORD	DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 08/07/2020		signature of att /s/K. Joshua Sc		JF KECUKD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	МАС. Л	UDGE	

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- **(b)** County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
   United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)** 

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <a href="Nature of Suit Code Descriptions">Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</a>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

  True Copy Attest

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

### THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR COURT

MERRIMACK, SS

DOCKET NO. 217-2020-CV-00329

GINGER SIMONDS 289 Vinton Street Manchester, NH 03103

v.

OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN 2 Pillsbury Street, Suite 400 Concord, NH 03301

### JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

#### **COMPLAINT**

### I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This action is brought by Ginger Simonds (the "Plaintiff") against the Office of Public Guardian ("OPG"), also referred to as the "Defendant," for wrongful termination, disability discrimination and retaliation in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S. Code § 12112, et al.) and the New Hampshire Law Against Discrimination (NH RSA 354-A).

### II. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to NH RSA 491:7.
- 3. Venue is proper in Merrimack County because the Defendant maintains its principal office in Concord, NH. Additionally, the Plaintiff was employed at the principal office location.

Tru (

True Copy Attest

4. The Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedy requirements with the New Hampshire Commission for Human Rights and U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

### III. PARTIES

- 5. The Plaintiff is a New Hampshire resident with an address of 289 Vinton Street, Manchester, NH 03103.
- 6. The Defendant, Office of Public Guardian, is a private, domestic non-profit organization with a principal office location of 2 Pillsbury Street, Suite 400, Concord, NH, 03301.

### IV. <u>FACTS</u>

- 7. Ginger Simonds began her employment with OPG on approximately September 22, 2014. She held the position of Public Guardian and made an annual salary of approximately \$52,000.00 per year with multiple job benefits including, but not limited to, health insurance, dental insurance, disability insurance and an on-call stipend.
- 8. In March of 2019, the Plaintiff suffered from the sudden onset of severe pain in her back and left leg. She also had a pins and needles feeling running down her leg into her foot. She was diagnosed with a recurrent lumbar herniation with radiculopathy. This condition substantially interfered with several major life functions of the Plaintiff including, but not limited to, bending, walking, lifting, sitting, twisting, sleeping and concentration. It was therefore a qualifying disability pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, Amendments Act of 2008 (the "ADA") and NH RSA 354-A.
- 9. Beginning on approximately March 16, 2019, Ms. Simonds took a period of medical leave in order to treat her disability with necessary surgery and recover.



- 10. The first twelve weeks of Ms. Simonds' leave was protected under the Family and Medical Leave Act (the "FMLA").
- 11. Throughout her period of leave, the Plaintiff provided multiple notes from her treating doctors to OPG. She also openly communicated with management regarding her status.
- 12. The Plaintiff underwent back surgery on or about April 11, 2019. Her back surgery was initially estimated to require a recovery period that would fall within the allotted twelve weeks of FMLA leave. Unfortunately, Ms. Simonds' recovery took longer than expected, in part because she was the victim of a sexual assault that left her with further injury. Ms. Simonds openly communicated her recovery status and the assault she suffered to the Defendant.
- 13. In a letter dated June 14, 2019, OPG informed the Plaintiff that her FMLA leave had expired on June 9, 2019, and stated she would need to apply for a "personal leave of absence" to avoid termination. The letter also requested several pieces of information related to the Plaintiff's disability and ability to perform her job.
- 14. After the Plaintiff provided the requested information, OPG agreed to extend her leave through July 22, 2019. As that day approached, the Plaintiff was in frequent contact with OPG Director of Finance, Andrea Sisson, who performed human resources functions for OPG. Ms. Simonds also provided further doctor's notes regarding her condition and progress.
- 15. In a letter dated July 19, 2019, Ms. Sisson communicated that Ms. Simonds was expected to return to work by July 26, 2019, and needed to provide a letter from her doctor stating that the medication Ms. Simonds was taking would not impair her judgment. Ms. Simonds provided the requested letter.
- 16. Ms. Simonds requested that OPG accommodate her disability and related surgical recovery by permitting her to work remotely rather than immediately returning to the office.



OPG refused this request but did agree to allow Ms. Simonds to work a part-time schedule and gradually increase her hours to full-time as she recovered.

- 17. In order to avoid paying Ms. Simonds her full salary for a part-time schedule, OPG changed Ms. Simonds' wage structure to hourly.
- 18. Starting on or about July 29, 2019, the Plaintiff began working in the OPG office on a part-time schedule.
- 19. Ms. Simonds' recovery was moving forward and her condition was improving. She felt great to be back at work and was looking forward to her gradual return to a full-time schedule.
- 20. While Ms. Simonds was working her part-time schedule, management asked her on a near-daily basis when she would return to full-time duty. The Plaintiff intended to be back to full-time within a short period but could not answer this question with exact specificity. Ms. Simonds explained to OPG that she was improving and increasing her hours as she improved.
- 21. Rather than allowing the Plaintiff the time to sufficiently recover from her disability symptoms and related surgery, OPG suddenly terminated Ms. Simonds' employment on or about August 13, 2019. The Plaintiff was devastated.
- 22. At the time of Ms. Simonds' termination, OPG did not have a replacement lined up to fill Ms. Simonds' position. Additionally, OPG had experienced significant difficulty and delay when seeking employees for guardian positions in the past. Therefore, terminating Ms. Simonds' employment provided no relief from any alleged difficulty or claimed hardship created by Ms. Simonds' accommodated schedule.
- 23. OPG advertised and sought applicants for the Plaintiff's employment position immediately after terminating her employment.

24. Upon information and belief, OPG did not obtain a full-time replacement for Ms. Simonds until October of 2019.

25. Ms. Simonds could have returned to full-time work by the time the new, non-disabled employee began working for OPG.

26. OPG has a six-month training period for new guardians. Ms. Simonds' could have returned to her position without needing the training that the new employee required, thereby saving money and other resources expended by the Defendant in replacing the Plaintiff.

27. Due to the disability discrimination and retaliation perpetrated against the Plaintiff by the Defendant, and the Defendant's wrongful termination of the Plaintiff's employment, the Plaintiff has suffered significant damages including, but not limited to, lost wages, lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is further entitled to attorney's fees, interest and costs. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages under NH RSA 354-A based on the Defendant's willful or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and punitive damages for the Defendant's intentional, malicious or reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's right to be free from discrimination.

## COUNT I Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. 12112 et al. and NH RSA 354-A

- 28. The Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation contained in the paragraphs above.
- 29. The Defendant is, and was at all times relevant, a private employer employing more than fifteen employees. Therefore, the Defendant is a covered employer under the ADA and NH RSA 354-A.

True Copy Attest

30. At all times relevant to this matter, the Plaintiff was disabled within the meaning of the ADA and NH RSA 354-A, as described in the facts section above.

With the reasonable accommodation of permitting the Plaintiff a short period of

medical leave followed by a gradual transition back to full-time hours, the Plaintiff could

perform the essential functions of her job. This is evidenced by the Plaintiff's effective

performance of her job duties prior to suffering from disability symptoms requiring surgery and

recovery time.

31.

32. Despite the Defendant agreeing to accommodate the Plaintiff with a gradual

return to a full-time schedule, it terminated the Plaintiff a mere two weeks after the Plaintiff's

return.

33. The Defendant's motivation for the termination was the Plaintiff's disability and

need for accommodations, as evidenced by the Defendant's constant inquiries as to when the

Plaintiff would return to full-time hours.

34. Had the Defendant simply allowed Ms. Simonds the time to recover and return to

a full-time schedule, rather than terminating her after two weeks of incomplete accommodation,

the Defendant could have avoided the delay and expense associated with hiring and training a

non-disabled replacement for the Plaintiff.

35. By failing to reasonably accommodate the Plaintiff's disability, failing to

meaningfully engage in the interactive process, and terminating the Plaintiff due to her disability

and need for an accommodation, the Defendant committed disability discrimination in violation

of the both the ADA and NH RSA 354-A.

36. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's disability discrimination, the

Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages including, but not limited to, lost wages,



True Copy Attest

Amy M. Feliciano, Clerk of Court

lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is further entitled to attorney's fees, interest and costs. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages under NH RSA 354-A based on the Defendant's willful or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and punitive damages for the Defendant's intentional, malicious or reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's right to be free from discrimination.

## COUNT II Retaliation in Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, U.S.C. 12112 et al. and NH RSA 354-A

- 37. The Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation contained in the paragraphs above.
- 38. The Plaintiff engaged in conduct protected by both the ADA and NH RSA 354-A by requesting and utilizing a reasonable accommodation for her disability.
- 39. Specifically, the Plaintiff sought the very reasonable accommodation of a short period of medical leave followed by a gradual transition back to full-time hours as she recovered from disability symptoms and a related surgery.
- 40. The Defendant initially agreed to the Plaintiff's accommodation request and the Plaintiff was permitted to utilize a part-time schedule with gradually increasing hours for two weeks.
- 41. Rather than allowing the Plaintiff to be fully accommodated and complete her recovery, the Defendant cut the accommodation short and fired the Plaintiff on or about August 13, 2019.
- 42. By taking adverse employment action against the Plaintiff in response to the Plaintiff's request and utilization of a reasonable accommodation, the Defendant committed

THE HAMPSHI

unlawful retaliation in violation of NH RSA 354-A, including 354-A:7 and 354-A:19, as well as the ADA.

43. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's unlawful retaliation, the Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages including, but not limited to, lost wages, lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is further entitled to attorney's fees, interest and costs. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages under NH RSA 354-A based on the Defendant's willful or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and punitive damages for the Defendant's intentional, malicious or reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's right to be free from retaliation.

### **COUNT III Wrongful Termination**

- 44. The Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation contained in the paragraphs above.
- 45. Public policy and both state and federal law supported the Plaintiff in requesting and utilizing a reasonable accommodation for her disability and related surgery.
- 46. Public policy also supported the Plaintiff in utilizing a short extension to her medical leave due to post-surgical disability symptoms and the aggravation of said symptoms due to a sexual assault.
- 47. In retaliation for the Plaintiff engaging in conduct supported by public policy, the Defendant terminated her employment. Therefore, the Defendant is liable for wrongful termination.
- 48. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's wrongful termination of the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages including, but not limited to,



lost wages, lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages based upon the Defendant's willful or reckless violation of New Hampshire law pertaining to wrongful termination.

**WHEREFORE**, the Plaintiff, Ginger Simonds, respectfully prays this Honorable Court:

- A. Schedule this matter for trial by jury;
- B. Find the Defendant liable for all counts alleged herein;
- C. Award the Plaintiff all damages to which she is entitled,
- D. Award the Plaintiff her reasonable attorney's fees, interest, and costs; and
- E. Grant such other and further relief as is just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted, Ginger Simonds, By her attorneys, BACKUS, MEYER & BRANCH, LLP

Date: July 2, 2020 By: \_/s/ Sean R. List

Sean R. List, NH Bar #266711 116 Lowell Street Manchester, NH 03104 (603) 668-7272 slist@backusmeyer.com

True Copy Attest

Amy M. Feliciano, Clerk of Court August 7, 2020

9

### THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE JUDICIAL BRANCH

SUPERIOR COURT

Merrimack Superior Court 5 Court Street Concord NH 03301

Telephone: 1-855-212-1234 TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964 http://www.courts.state.nh.us

### **SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION**



Case Name: Ginger Simonds v Office of Public Guardian

Case Number: 217-2020-CV-00329

Date Complaint Filed: July 02, 2020

A Complaint has been filed against Office of Public Guardian in this Court. A copy of the Complaint is attached.

### The Court ORDERS that ON OR BEFORE:

Ginger Simonds shall have this Summons and the attached Complaint August 21, 2020

served upon Office of Public Guardian by in hand or by leaving a copy at

his/her abode, or by such other service as is allowed by law.

September 11, 2020 Ginger Simonds shall electronically file the return(s) of service with this

Court. Failure to do so may result in this action being dismissed without

further notice.

30 days after Defendant

is served

Office of Public Guardian must electronically file an Appearance and Answer or other responsive pleading form with this Court. A copy of the

Appearance and Answer or other responsive pleading must be sent

electronically to the party/parties listed below.

Notice to Office of Public Guardian: If you do not comply with these requirements you will be considered in default and the Court may issue orders that affect you without your input.

Send copies to:

Sean Robert List, ESQ Backus Meyer & Branch LLP 116 Lowell St PO Box 516

Manchester NH 03105-0516

Office of Public Guardian Human Rights, New

Hampshire Commission

2 Pillsbury Street Suite 400 Concord NH 03301

2 Industrial Park Drive Concord NH 03301

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

July 07, 2020

(126987)

Catherine J. Ruffle

Clerk of Court

True Copy Attest

### THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE JUDICIAL BRANCH

SUPERIOR COURT

Merrimack Superior Court 5 Court Street Concord NH 03301 Telephone: 1-855-212-1234 TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964 http://www.courts.state.nh.us

True Copy Attest

Amy M. Feliciano, Clerk of Court

# GINGER SIMONDS INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE BY THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Case Name: Ginger Simonds v Office of Public Guardian

Case Number: 217-2020-CV-00329

**Instructions for: Ginger Simonds** 

The attached Summons must be sent to the Sheriff's Department for service. Service must be completed on or before **August 21, 2020**.

### Further action is required by you

#### You must:

- Print two copies of the Summons per defendant
- Print two copies of the Notice to Defendant per defendant
- Print two copies of the Complaint filed with the Court per defendant
- Make two packets for service. Each packet should contain:
  - o One Summons
  - Once Notice for Defendant
  - One Complaint filed with the Court
- Mail or hand deliver the packets to the Sheriff's Department in the county where each defendant resides.

### **Sheriff Departments in New Hampshire:**

Belknap County Sheriff's Department:
Carroll County Sheriff's Department:
Cheshire County Sheriff's Department:
Coos County Sheriff's Department:

\*If one or more of the parties resides out of state, please click <a href="here">here</a> for the requirements\* Service must be made upon the defendant before August 21, 2020.

If the Sheriff is unable to complete service by **August 21, 2020** you will receive a "Notice of Incomplete Service" from the Sheriff's Department. You may request that new paperwork be issued by electronically filing a Request for Documents. There is a fee for this request.

The Sheriff will mail the 'Return of Service' to you. You MUST electronically file the 'Return of Service' with the court by September 11, 2020.

If service is not made as directed, no further action will occur and the case may be dismissed by the court.

NHJB-2678-Se (07/01/2018)

### **Important Service Information for Sheriff**

Do not file this with the court

Provide this information to the Sheriff's Department.

See Instructions for Service for more information.

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

Date:	Case #:	
Who are you requesting to be served?  Please provide whatever information you know Name:  Address for service (no P.O. boxes):	19 A John	
Home phone #: Cell	phone #:	
Sex: Male Female Rac	e:	
Last 4 digits of SS#: xxx-xx	D.O.B	
Work name & address:		
Special instructions for service (i.e. directions, bes	st time to serve, cautions, etc.):	
Vehicle description/license plate:		
Your Information: Name (please print):		
Residential address:	Mailing address:	
Phone number to contact you during business  Alternate #:		
◆IN-HAND SERVICE WILL INCUR EX	Signature  (TRA COSTS DUE TO ADDITIONAL TRAVEL*  y by Sheriff's Office)	
Fees Paid: \$ Cash #:		
Id#: Waiver: Money Order#:	Credit Card:	

#### Instructions for filing the Return of Service:

If you are working with an attorney, they will guide you on the next steps. If you are going to represent yourself in this action, go to the court's website: <a href="www.courts.state.nh.us">www.courts.state.nh.us</a>, select the Electronic Services icon and then select the option for a self-represented party.

- 1. Select "I am filing into an existing case". Enter 217-2020-CV-00329 and click Next.
- 2. When you find the case, click on the link follow the instructions on the screen. On the "What would you like to file?" screen, select "File Other Document" and choose "Return of Service".
- 3. Scan the Return of Service packet and follow the instructions in the electronic filing program to upload the Return of Service to complete your filing.
- 4. If the sheriff was unable to serve the paperwork, you can request new paperwork by filing a Request for Documents. On the "What would you like to file?" screen, select "File Other Document" and choose "Request for Reissued Summons" from the menu and upload the Request for Documents form.

#### FAILURE TO FILE THESE DOCUMENTS MAY RESULT IN YOUR CASE BEING DISMISSED.

July 07, 2020	Catherine J. Ruffle
Date	Clerk of Court

You can access documents electronically filed through our Case Access Portal by going to <a href="https://odypa.nhecourt.us/portal">https://odypa.nhecourt.us/portal</a> and following the instructions in the User Guide. In that process you will register, validate your email, request access and approval to view your case. After your information is validated by the court, you will be able to view case information and documents filed in your case.



**True Copy Attest** 

# THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE JUDICIAL BRANCH SUPERIOR COURT

Merrimack Superior Court 5 Court Street Concord NH 03301 Telephone: 1-855-212-1234 TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964 http://www.courts.state.nh.us

#### NOTICE TO DEFENDANT

Case Name: Ginger Simonds v Office of Public Guardian

Case Number: 217-2020-CV-00329

You have been served with a Complaint which serves as notice that this legal action has been filed against you in the **Merrimack Superior Court.** Review the Complaint to see the basis for the Plaintiff's claim.

Each Defendant is required to electronically file an Appearance and Answer 30 days after service. You may register and respond on any private or public computer. For your convenience, there is also a computer available in the courthouse lobby.

If you are working with an attorney, they will guide you on the next steps. If you are going to represent yourself in this action, go to the court's website: <a href="www.courts.state.nh.us">www.courts.state.nh.us</a>, select the Electronic Services icon and then select the option for a self-represented party.

- 1. Complete the registration/log in process. Click Register and follow the prompts.
- 2. After you register, click Start Now. Select Merrimack Superior Court as the location.
- 3. Select "I am filing into an existing case". Enter 217-2020-CV-00329 and click Next.
- 4. When you find the case, click on the link and follow the instructions on the screen. On the "What would you like to file?" screen, select "File a Response to Civil Complaint". Follow the instructions to complete your filing.
- 5. Review your Response before submitting it to the court.

**IMPORTANT:** After receiving your response and other filings the court will send notifications and court orders electronically to the email address you provide.

A person who is filing or defending against a Civil Complaint will want to be familiar with the <u>Rules of the Superior Court</u>, which are available on the court's website: <u>www.courts.state.nh.us</u>.

Once you have registered and responded to the summons, you can access documents electronically filed by going to <a href="https://odypa.nhecourt.us/portal">https://odypa.nhecourt.us/portal</a> and following the instructions in the User Guide. In that process you will register, validate your email, request access and approval to view your case. After your information is validated by the court, you will be able to view case information and documents filed in your case.

If you have questions regarding this process, please contact the court at 1-855-212-1234.

THE HAMPSHEE

True Copy Attest

#### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

#### MERRIMACK COUNTY

SUPERIOR COURT

GINGER SIMONDS 289 Vinton Street Manchester, NH 03103

v.

OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN
2 Pillsbury Street, Suite 400
Concord, NH 03301

#### ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE

I, K. Joshua Scott, Esquire, hereby accept service of the complaint and summons on behalf of my client, OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN, and waive any and all requirements for service of process.

Dated: 7/14/2020

/s/ K. Joshua Scott

K. Joshua Scott, NH Bar #17479 100 International Drive, Suite 363 Portsmouth, NH 03801 603.559.2711 joshua.scott@jacksonlewis.com

Respectfully submitted,

Ginger Simonds, By her attorneys BACKUS, MEYER, and BRANCH, LLP

Dated: 7/14/2020

By: /s/ Sean R. List

Sean R. List, NH Bar # 266711 116 Lowell Street, P.O. Box 516 Manchester, NH 03105-0516

603-668-7272

imeyer@backusmeyer.com



Amy M. Feliciano, Clerk of Court August 7, 2020

True Copy Attest

### THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE JUDICIAL BRANCH

SUPERIOR COURT

Merrimack Superior Court 5 Court Street Concord NH 03301 Telephone: 1-855-212-1234 TTY/TDD Relay: (800) 735-2964 http://www.courts.state.nh.us

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION



Case Name:

Ginger Simonds v Office of Public Guardian

Case Number:

217-2020-CV-00329

Date Complaint Filed: July 02, 2020

A Complaint has been filed against Office of Public Guardian in this Court. A copy of the Complaint is attached.

#### The Court ORDERS that ON OR BEFORE:

August 21, 2020 Ginger Simonds shall have this Summons and the attached Complaint

served upon Office of Public Guardian by in hand or by leaving a copy at

his/her abode, or by such other service as is allowed by law.

September 11, 2020

Ginger Simonds shall electronically file the return(s) of service with this Court. Failure to do so may result in this action being dismissed without

further notice.

30 days after Defendant

is served

Office of Public Guardian must electronically file an Appearance and Answer or other responsive pleading form with this Court. A copy of the

Appearance and Answer or other responsive pleading must be sent

electronically to the party/parties listed below.

Notice to Office of Public Guardian: If you do not comply with these requirements you will be considered in default and the Court may issue orders that affect you without your input.

Send copies to:

Sean Robert List, ESQ Backus Meyer & Branch LLP 116 Lowell St PO Box 516

Manchester NH 03105-0516

Office of Public Guardian Human Rights, New

Hampshire Commission

2 Pillsbury Street Suite 400 Concord NH 03301

2 Industrial Park Drive Concord NH 03301

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

July 07, 2020

Catherine J. Ruffle

(126987)



True Copy Attest

### THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR COURT

MERRIMACK, SS

DOCKET NO. 217-2020-CV-00329

GINGER SIMONDS 289 Vinton Street Manchester, NH 03103

v.

OFFICE OF PUBLIC GUARDIAN
2 Pillsbury Street, Suite 400
Concord, NH 03301

#### **JURY TRIAL REQUESTED**

#### **COMPLAINT**

#### I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This action is brought by Ginger Simonds (the "Plaintiff") against the Office of Public Guardian ("OPG"), also referred to as the "Defendant," for wrongful termination, disability discrimination and retaliation in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S. Code § 12112, et al.) and the New Hampshire Law Against Discrimination (NH RSA 354-A).

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to NH RSA 491:7.
- 3. Venue is proper in Merrimack County because the Defendant maintains its principal office in Concord, NH. Additionally, the Plaintiff was employed at the principal office location.

True Copy Attest

4. The Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedy requirements with the New Hampshire Commission for Human Rights and U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

#### III. PARTIES

- The Plaintiff is a New Hampshire resident with an address of 289 Vinton Street,
   Manchester, NH 03103.
- 6. The Defendant, Office of Public Guardian, is a private, domestic non-profit organization with a principal office location of 2 Pillsbury Street, Suite 400, Concord, NH, 03301.

#### IV. FACTS

- 7. Ginger Simonds began her employment with OPG on approximately September 22, 2014. She held the position of Public Guardian and made an annual salary of approximately \$52,000.00 per year with multiple job benefits including, but not limited to, health insurance, dental insurance, disability insurance and an on-call stipend.
- 8. In March of 2019, the Plaintiff suffered from the sudden onset of severe pain in her back and left leg. She also had a pins and needles feeling running down her leg into her foot. She was diagnosed with a recurrent lumbar herniation with radiculopathy. This condition substantially interfered with several major life functions of the Plaintiff including, but not limited to, bending, walking, lifting, sitting, twisting, sleeping and concentration. It was therefore a qualifying disability pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, Amendments Act of 2008 (the "ADA") and NH RSA 354-A.
- 9. Beginning on approximately March 16, 2019, Ms. Simonds took a period of medical leave in order to treat her disability with necessary surgery and recover.

True Copy Attest

- 10. The first twelve weeks of Ms. Simonds' leave was protected under the Family and Medical Leave Act (the "FMLA").
- 11. Throughout her period of leave, the Plaintiff provided multiple notes from her treating doctors to OPG. She also openly communicated with management regarding her status.
- 12. The Plaintiff underwent back surgery on or about April 11, 2019. Her back surgery was initially estimated to require a recovery period that would fall within the allotted twelve weeks of FMLA leave. Unfortunately, Ms. Simonds' recovery took longer than expected, in part because she was the victim of a sexual assault that left her with further injury. Ms. Simonds openly communicated her recovery status and the assault she suffered to the Defendant.
- 13. In a letter dated June 14, 2019, OPG informed the Plaintiff that her FMLA leave had expired on June 9, 2019, and stated she would need to apply for a "personal leave of absence" to avoid termination. The letter also requested several pieces of information related to the Plaintiff's disability and ability to perform her job.
- 14. After the Plaintiff provided the requested information, OPG agreed to extend her leave through July 22, 2019. As that day approached, the Plaintiff was in frequent contact with OPG Director of Finance, Andrea Sisson, who performed human resources functions for OPG. Ms. Simonds also provided further doctor's notes regarding her condition and progress.
- 15. In a letter dated July 19, 2019, Ms. Sisson communicated that Ms. Simonds was expected to return to work by July 26, 2019, and needed to provide a letter from her doctor stating that the medication Ms. Simonds was taking would not impair her judgment. Ms. Simonds provided the requested letter.
- 16. Ms. Simonds requested that OPG accommodate her disability and related surgical recovery by permitting her to work remotely rather than immediately returning to the office.



True Copy Attest

Amy M. Feliciano, Clerk of Court
August 7, 2020

OPG refused this request but did agree to allow Ms. Simonds to work a part-time schedule and gradually increase her hours to full-time as she recovered.

- 17. In order to avoid paying Ms. Simonds her full salary for a part-time schedule, OPG changed Ms. Simonds' wage structure to hourly.
- 18. Starting on or about July 29, 2019, the Plaintiff began working in the OPG office on a part-time schedule.
- 19. Ms. Simonds' recovery was moving forward and her condition was improving. She felt great to be back at work and was looking forward to her gradual return to a full-time schedule.
- 20. While Ms. Simonds was working her part-time schedule, management asked her on a near-daily basis when she would return to full-time duty. The Plaintiff intended to be back to full-time within a short period but could not answer this question with exact specificity. Ms. Simonds explained to OPG that she was improving and increasing her hours as she improved.
- 21. Rather than allowing the Plaintiff the time to sufficiently recover from her disability symptoms and related surgery, OPG suddenly terminated Ms. Simonds' employment on or about August 13, 2019. The Plaintiff was devastated.
- 22. At the time of Ms. Simonds' termination, OPG did not have a replacement lined up to fill Ms. Simonds' position. Additionally, OPG had experienced significant difficulty and delay when seeking employees for guardian positions in the past. Therefore, terminating Ms. Simonds' employment provided no relief from any alleged difficulty or claimed hardship created by Ms. Simonds' accommodated schedule.
- 23. OPG advertised and sought applicants for the Plaintiff's employment position immediately after terminating her employment.

- 24. Upon information and belief, OPG did not obtain a full-time replacement for Ms. Simonds until October of 2019.
- 25. Ms. Simonds could have returned to full-time work by the time the new, non-disabled employee began working for OPG.
- 26. OPG has a six-month training period for new guardians. Ms. Simonds' could have returned to her position without needing the training that the new employee required, thereby saving money and other resources expended by the Defendant in replacing the Plaintiff.
- 27. Due to the disability discrimination and retaliation perpetrated against the Plaintiff by the Defendant, and the Defendant's wrongful termination of the Plaintiff's employment, the Plaintiff has suffered significant damages including, but not limited to, lost wages, lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is further entitled to attorney's fees, interest and costs. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages under NH RSA 354-A based on the Defendant's willful or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and punitive damages for the Defendant's intentional, malicious or reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's right to be free from discrimination.

#### **COUNT I**

### <u>Disability Discrimination in Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C.</u> 12112 et al. and NH RSA 354-A

- 28. The Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation contained in the paragraphs above.
- 29. The Defendant is, and was at all times relevant, a private employer employing more than fifteen employees. Therefore, the Defendant is a covered employer under the ADA and NH RSA 354-A.

- 30. At all times relevant to this matter, the Plaintiff was disabled within the meaning of the ADA and NH RSA 354-A, as described in the facts section above.
- 31. With the reasonable accommodation of permitting the Plaintiff a short period of medical leave followed by a gradual transition back to full-time hours, the Plaintiff could perform the essential functions of her job. This is evidenced by the Plaintiff's effective performance of her job duties prior to suffering from disability symptoms requiring surgery and recovery time.
- 32. Despite the Defendant agreeing to accommodate the Plaintiff with a gradual return to a full-time schedule, it terminated the Plaintiff a mere two weeks after the Plaintiff's return.
- 33. The Defendant's motivation for the termination was the Plaintiff's disability and need for accommodations, as evidenced by the Defendant's constant inquiries as to when the Plaintiff would return to full-time hours.
- 34. Had the Defendant simply allowed Ms. Simonds the time to recover and return to a full-time schedule, rather than terminating her after two weeks of incomplete accommodation, the Defendant could have avoided the delay and expense associated with hiring and training a non-disabled replacement for the Plaintiff.
- 35. By failing to reasonably accommodate the Plaintiff's disability, failing to meaningfully engage in the interactive process, and terminating the Plaintiff due to her disability and need for an accommodation, the Defendant committed disability discrimination in violation of the both the ADA and NH RSA 354-A.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's disability discrimination, the Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages including, but not limited to, lost wages,



True Copy Attest

lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is further entitled to attorney's fees, interest and costs. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages under NH RSA 354-A based on the Defendant's willful or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and punitive damages for the Defendant's intentional, malicious or reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's right to be free from discrimination.

## <u>COUNT II</u> <u>Retaliation in Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act,</u> <u>U.S.C. 12112 et al. and NH RSA 354-A</u>

- 37. The Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation contained in the paragraphs above.
- 38. The Plaintiff engaged in conduct protected by both the ADA and NH RSA 354-A by requesting and utilizing a reasonable accommodation for her disability.
- 39. Specifically, the Plaintiff sought the very reasonable accommodation of a short period of medical leave followed by a gradual transition back to full-time hours as she recovered from disability symptoms and a related surgery.
- 40. The Defendant initially agreed to the Plaintiff's accommodation request and the Plaintiff was permitted to utilize a part-time schedule with gradually increasing hours for two weeks.
- 41. Rather than allowing the Plaintiff to be fully accommodated and complete her recovery, the Defendant cut the accommodation short and fired the Plaintiff on or about August 13, 2019.
- 42. By taking adverse employment action against the Plaintiff in response to the Plaintiff's request and utilization of a reasonable accommodation, the Defendant committed

True Copy Attest

unlawful retaliation in violation of NH RSA 354-A, including 354-A:7 and 354-A:19, as well as the ADA.

As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's unlawful retaliation, the Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages including, but not limited to, lost wages, lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is further entitled to attorney's fees, interest and costs. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages under NH RSA 354-A based on the Defendant's willful or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and punitive damages for the Defendant's intentional, malicious or reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's right to be free from retaliation.

### COUNT III Wrongful Termination

- 44. The Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation contained in the paragraphs above.
- 45. Public policy and both state and federal law supported the Plaintiff in requesting and utilizing a reasonable accommodation for her disability and related surgery.
- 46. Public policy also supported the Plaintiff in utilizing a short extension to her medical leave due to post-surgical disability symptoms and the aggravation of said symptoms due to a sexual assault.
- 47. In retaliation for the Plaintiff engaging in conduct supported by public policy, the Defendant terminated her employment. Therefore, the Defendant is liable for wrongful termination.
- 48. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's wrongful termination of the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages including, but not limited to,

lost wages, lost benefits, emotional distress, reputational harm, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of life enjoyment and lost earning capacity. The Plaintiff is also entitled to enhanced compensatory damages based upon the Defendant's willful or reckless violation of New Hampshire law pertaining to wrongful termination.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Ginger Simonds, respectfully prays this Honorable Court:

- A. Schedule this matter for trial by jury;
- B. Find the Defendant liable for all counts alleged herein;
- C. Award the Plaintiff all damages to which she is entitled,
- D. Award the Plaintiff her reasonable attorney's fees, interest, and costs; and
- E. Grant such other and further relief as is just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted, Ginger Simonds, By her attorneys, BACKUS, MEYER & BRANCH, LLP

Date: July 2, 2020 By: /s/ Sean R. List

Sean R. List, NH Bar #266711 116 Lowell Street Manchester, NH 03104 (603) 668-7272 slist@backusmeyer.com

True Copy Attest